

A-NZ Peppol Stakeholder Working Group - Consistent Data Mapping Focus Group Meeting

Meeting Summary - 31 March 2022

Item#	Outcomes
1	Introduction Matt Lewis welcomed everyone to the group and Simon Foster acknowledged Traditional Owners in Australia and NZ.
2	Review of the mapping examples
	Calculated Quantity There are two ways this can be included: Item Description field (as free text) OR AdditionalItemProperty (more structured, however requires the receiver to be able to read and understand each label, e.g. "open reading" for meter reading).
	Not all receivers require this level of information, but for those that do, they may currently refer to attachments for details.
	ATO/MBIE will reach out to OpenPeppol to get intel for how this is managed in EU implementations.
	Asset/Equipment Identifiers There are two key scenarios in which this information is conveyed in an invoice: • Multiple invoice lines reference a single asset (all relate to one asset item) • Each line relates to one asset (invoice relates to multiple items)
	There are designated UBL fields for both scenarios: For #1 (invoice level, single asset), Peppol has a designated solution to use "invoice Object Identifier" technically implemented through AdditionalDocumentReference/ID and DocumentTypeCode of 130.
	For #2, there are a few options to include identifiers in the UBL: • Buyer's item identification number (buyer assigned) • Seller's item identification number (seller assigned) • Standard item identification number common / commercial identification scheme such as GTIN, where the scheme ID must be specified to ensure the receiver understands the identification scheme used
	However depending on the capability of the send solution, this information may be included in the following places: 1. The appropriate item identification field (seller, buyer or standard) 2. Item Name or Description 3. Free text field
	Note that using a free text field would likely result in manual handling.

Discussed where identifiers may label a 'type' of goods (i.e. items labelled with the same identifier such as a part number) and those items that are uniquely identified.

Usage Details

Large utility customers have large volumes of data and it is not practical to include it all in an elnvoice. Expecting that usage details will be included as a charge summary at UBL leve, so the customer could check that they are billed accurately against their contractual agreement. Extra data/information would be sent as an attachment or through existing channels.

Mentioned that all customers would benefit from an attachment with additional information and it is about trying to standardise between large and small customers.

A conclusion was not reached on this topic and discussion will continue in the next meeting. Bulk mail is a similar scenario that we could discuss under this item.

3 Backlog of topics for discussion

4 Meeting close

Thanked everyone for their input during the meeting. There will be a break over the school and Easter holidays.

The next meeting will be held 28 April at 11.30am AEST / 1.30pm NZST and will pick up at example 4.6.